

# Table of Contents

---

Cover Sheet	2
1 Policy Details	2
2 Status and Approvals	3
Poole Harbour SPD plan	4
EQIA	23

Ref. No.	
Category:	
People	
Place	Yes
Corporate	
In Constitution	

# Poole Harbour Recreation 2019 to 2024 supplementary planning document (SPD)

## Policy Details

What is this policy for?	The SPD (supplementary planning document) sets out a strategy under which planning applications for residential development can be determined and avoid adverse effects on the integrity of Poole Harbour, by mitigating the impact of additional visitors to the Harbour that can cause disturbance to protected birds
Who does this policy affect?	local communities businesses, landowners authorities, utilities visitors
Keywords	Poole Harbour SPA Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Habitats Regulations
Author	Name - John Sellgren  Job Title - Executive Director of Place  Tel No – 01305225274  Email address - john.sellgren@dorsetcouncil.gov.uk
Does this policy relate to any laws?	Regulation 63 of the Habitat Regulations Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (the Habitats Regulations)
Is this policy linked to any other Dorset Council policies?	Poole Local Plan (2018) Draft Purbeck Local Plan Neighbourhood Plans Local Plans Minerals and Waste Local Plan AONB Management Plans Shoreline Management Plans Dorset Coastal Pollution Plan
Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA)	Officers have completed an Equalities Impact Assessment and found that there was neutral impact.
Other Impact Assessments	<b>Financial Implications</b> Copies of the adoption statement for the SPD will need to be sent to any person who has asked to be notified. This can be accommodated within existing budgets

	<p><b>Climate implications</b></p> <p>No direct implications arising from the SPD as it provides further detail and guidance around local planning policies relating to protected habitats. Taken as a whole the adopted and emerging local plans relating to the Purbeck area include strategies, and other policies, which seek to secure development/land uses that contribute toward the mitigation of, and adaptation to, climate change</p> <p><b>Risk Assessment</b></p> <p>Having considered the risks associated with this decision, the level of risk has been identified as:  Current Risk: MEDIUM  Residual Risk LOW</p>
--	--

### Status and Approvals

Status	Live	Version	
Last review date	2019	Next review date	2024
Approved by (Director)	John Sellgren, Executive Director of Place	Date approved	10 <sup>th</sup> December 2019
Member/ Partnership Board Approval	Cabinet	Date approved	10 <sup>th</sup> December 2019



Working in Partnership



# **Poole Harbour Recreation 2019-2024**

---

**Supplementary Planning Document**

April 2020

# Executive Summary

The objective of this SPD is to set out a strategy for mitigating the impact of new housing upon Poole Harbour Special Protection Area (SPA). It is not a recreation strategy.

Poole Harbour is an outstanding natural feature on the south coast of England. It provides a resource for a variety of local businesses and port activities. The quality of the natural environment in Dorset makes it an attractive place to live, work and for leisure activity. However, increasing recreational activities around the harbour are causing disturbance to protected bird species.

The overall objective of the SPD is to establish a framework under which applications for development likely to have a significant effect on Poole Harbour SPA and Ramsar site can be permitted (or should be refused) so that any adverse effects on the integrity of Poole Harbour are avoided. The strategy deals both with larger developments, which may affect the integrity of these sites alone, and smaller developments where cumulative effects may be the critical factor. The latter provision is necessary to meet the 'in combination' part of Regulation 63 of the Habitat Regulations.

Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole (BCP) Council and Dorset Council as decision makers are the competent authorities under the Habitats Regulations and are advised by Natural England in how to fulfil these duties. The Councils when granting planning permission have to be certain that the proposed development will not have an adverse effect upon important areas of nature conservation.

Natural England advises that the cumulative effect of further residential and tourism development in a defined 'Poole Harbour Recreation Zone' would have a significant effect upon the Poole Harbour Special Protection Area (SPA) and Ramsar Site. In particular, population growth will increase recreational activities in and around the harbour causing direct or indirect disturbance to protected birds. Disturbance can be defined as any human activity that influences a bird's behaviour or survival. Studies show that public access in and around the harbour, and various forms of recreational activities can cause disturbance, e.g. boats, walkers, dogs, bait digging, etc.

Based upon this evidence, the Poole Local Plan (2018) and the Draft Purbeck Local Plan include policies to mitigate any harm from new housing and tourism development. The local plans state that the mitigation strategy will be set out in a supplementary planning document. This is that strategy. It has been prepared jointly between BCP Council and Dorset Council with advice from Natural England. It covers a 5 year implementation period from 2019-2024. The mitigation strategy is two part and consists of:

- Part 1: Strategic Access Management and Monitoring (SAMM); and
- Part 2: Poole Harbour Infrastructure Projects (PHIPs).

SAMMs pay for the day to day costs of raising awareness of the issues, which includes employing a project coordinator and a warden to manage visitor pressures on the Poole Harbour SPA and provide information. SAMMs also pay for ongoing monitoring of the effects of new development and crucially whether this strategy is effective.

To enable the Councils to grant planning permission for proposals for a net increase in dwellings within the Poole Harbour Recreation Zone, the applicant is required to pay SAMMs as follows:

- BCP Council will charge a SAMMs rate of **£140 per house** and **£96 per flat** paid by planning obligation; and
- Dorset Council will collect the necessary SAMMs costs through Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL).

PHIPs are physical infrastructure works, such as the provision of better marked routes around the Harbour edge or planting to provide protection to vulnerable birds. PHIPs costs vary from project to project and are funded from CIL.

A joint advisory group is proposed to advise on the delivery of mitigation measures outside of those delivered through planning applications. The advisory group would be responsible for confirming projects to the respective Councils as suitable for approval and endorsing a Monitoring, Projects and Implementation Plan that will set out the progress in delivery of specific projects.

# Contents

Executive Summary.....	2
Contents.....	3
1. Introduction.....	4
2. Legislative and Policy Background.....	5
Designations.....	5
The Habitats Regulations.....	5
National Planning Policy Framework.....	5
3 Evidence.....	7
4 Enabling Development: The Poole Harbour Avoidance and Mitigation Strategy.....	9
Part 1: Strategic Access Management and Monitoring (SAMM).....	9
Part 2: Poole Harbour Infrastructure Projects (PHIPs).....	10
Tourism development and other types of housing.....	10
Permitted Development.....	10
5 Paying for the Mitigation Strategy.....	11
Paying SAMMs.....	11
Paying PHIPs.....	11
Appropriate assessment of planning applications.....	12
Securing mitigation in perpetuity.....	12
6 Monitoring, Implementation and Governance.....	13
Appendix A: Potential Mitigation Measures.....	14
Appendix B: Advice for Different Uses.....	16
Appendix C: Permitted Development / Prior Approvals.....	18
Appendix D: Model Clauses for Planning Obligations.....	19



# 1. Introduction

- 1.1 Supplementary Planning Documents (SPD) provide guidance to applicants and interested parties on local planning matters by providing more detailed advice or guidance on the policies in the relevant adopted Local Plan.
- 1.2 This SPD was prepared jointly by Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Council (BCP Council) and Dorset Council with the advice of Natural England. The Councils<sup>1</sup> consulted on this SPD from 4 February to the 4 March 2019. The feedback to the consultation is summarised in a consultation statement and was used to prepare the SPD for adoption by the Councils. The SPD was adopted by BCP Council on 18 February 2020 and by Dorset Council on 31 March 2020.
- 1.3 The purpose of this SPD is to set out the approach to avoid or mitigate harm arising from increased levels of leisure activities on Poole Harbour Special Protection Area (SPA) and Ramsar Site. The avoidance and mitigation measures set out in this SPD thereby enable the two Councils to grant permission for residential and tourism development planned in the local plans. It is not a recreation strategy for Poole Harbour. The SPD provides guidance and advice to developers, landowners and the wider community on matters to avoid or mitigate the adverse recreational effects of urban development on Poole Harbour.
- 1.4 The SPD supports the Draft Purbeck Local Plan and the Poole Local Plan (2018). The SPD covers a five year period from 2019 to 2024. During this 5 year period the Councils will deliver the necessary mitigation to enable the planned housing growth set out in the local plans and other projects giving rise to relevant adverse effects.
- 1.5 This SPD accords with the principles of the NPPF and it is a result of the co-operative approach to partnership working between the Councils and statutory bodies and other organisations.
- 1.6 This SPD covers recreation issues only and does not relate to other significant effects on the Poole Harbour protected sites, such as considerations related to surface water discharge from the application sites nor matters related to species protected by law. These will require case by case consideration in consultation with Natural England.
- 1.7 There is also a Nitrogen Reduction in Poole Harbour SPD that covers the issue of nitrate loading on the harbour. In most cases development will be required to satisfy the mitigation requirements set out in both SPDs.

---

<sup>1</sup> Under the former guise of Borough of Poole Council and Purbeck District Council prior to local government reorganisation

## 2. Legislative and Policy Background

### Designations

- 2.1 Poole Harbour is a natural harbour that is designated a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), Special Protection Area (SPA) and Ramsar site for its nature conservation importance.
- 2.2 Poole Harbour was classified as a Special Protection Area (SPA) in 1999 due to the important bird species that inhabit the area. The special site was designated under the EU Birds Directive to protect rare, vulnerable and migratory birds. In particular for the internationally important populations of regularly occurring species and migratory species - Common Shelduck (Non-breeding); Pied Avocet (Non-breeding); Black-tailed Godwit (Non-breeding); Mediterranean Gull (Breeding); Common Tern (Breeding); Little Egret (Non-breeding); and Eurasian Spoonbill (Non-breeding). There is also an internationally important assemblage of waterfowl including Dunlin, Redshank and Brent Goose<sup>2</sup>. There are also supporting habitats for seagrass; shallow inshore waters including coastal lagoons; intertidal sediments; saltmarsh; and reedbeds.<sup>3</sup>
- 2.3 The SPA was extended in 2018 to incorporate the subtidal areas of the harbour and also areas of Lytchett Bay now subject to tidal inundation; at which time little egret, Eurasian Spoonbill, and Sandwich Tern were added.

### The Habitats Regulations

- 2.4 European wildlife sites are protected by the EC Birds and Habitats Directives, specific provisions of which are applied in the UK by the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (the Habitats Regulations). They place particular responsibilities on a decision maker in relation to such sites. The two Councils, as decision makers are the competent authorities under the Habitats Regulations and are advised by Natural England on how to fulfil these duties.
- 2.5 Regulation 63 of the Habitats Regulations requires that any application for development or strategic plan or policy which is likely to significantly affect a European site is subject to an appropriate assessment of the implications of the proposal for the site's conservation objectives. The planning authority must ascertain that the plan or project will not have an adverse effect on the integrity of the site, alone or in combination with other plans or projects, either directly or indirectly, taking account of any conditions or restrictions that would help ensure no adverse effect, before granting permission or adopting a plan or policy.

### National Planning Policy Framework

- 2.6 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) outline the procedure that should be followed in deciding whether to approve a proposal (a plan or project) that will potentially affect a protected habitats site.
- 2.7 The NPPF recognises the value of our natural environment stating that the '*planning system should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment*<sup>4</sup>, and importantly that the presumption in favour of sustainable development does not apply where development requiring appropriate assessment under the Birds or Habitats Directives is being considered, planned or determined.<sup>5</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> The *Acrocephalus paludicola*; Aquatic warbler (Non-breeding) was previously listed but no longer qualifies.

<sup>3</sup> <http://www.southern-ifca.gov.uk/poole-harbour-spa>  
<http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/3152751>

<sup>4</sup> NPPF para 170

<sup>5</sup> NPPF para 177




## Development Plans

- 2.8 The two Councils Local Plans contain similarly worded policies that address the Poole Harbour issue. The SPD supports the following local plan policies:
- *Poole Local Plan (2018)* - Part 2(b) of *Policy PP32 Poole's nationally, European and internationally important protected sites* is specifically relevant to recreational effects on Poole Harbour. It requires any net increase in homes or tourist accommodation to provide a Strategic Access Management and Monitoring (SAMM) contribution for wardening, education and monitoring, to mitigate the adverse effects of recreation related pressures within Poole Harbour.
  - The *Purbeck Local Plan Part 1 (2012)* and *Swanage Local Plan (2017)* contain similarly worded policies addressing the Poole Harbour recreation issue. The *Draft Purbeck Local Plan* is currently at examination and includes Policy E9 relating to Poole Harbour which requires the adverse effects of new residential development (in an identified zone around the harbours edge) to be avoided or mitigated.
- 2.9 The local plans state that the Poole Harbour recreation strategy will be set out in a supplementary planning document. This is that strategy. The SPD expands upon the local plan policies, providing more detail to guide development.
- 2.10 The local plans are accompanied by habitats regulations assessments (HRA) which set out the measures that need to be provided to enable development to be delivered. Together the HRAs provide a consistent record of the approach to avoidance and mitigation and in varying levels of detail, the type and nature of projects required.
- 2.11 In addition to the local plans, there may be relevant policies in neighbourhood plans.

### 3 Evidence

- 3.1 The two Councils and Natural England have been gathering evidence into the effects of increased recreational activities on Poole Harbour associated with additional residential and tourist development, to inform this strategy. This SPD is supported by the Poole Harbour Recreation Background Paper that draws upon evidence from various studies which have identified activities leading to adverse effects upon protected species within the harbour.
- 3.2 Studies show that public access in and around the harbour, and various forms of recreational activities can disturb the SPA birds in the harbour. These activities include sailing along with walking, dogs and bait digging along the shoreline. Disturbance can be defined as any human activity that influences a bird's behaviour and ultimately its survival. Disturbance can result in:
- a reduction in the time spent feeding due to repeated flushing/increased vigilance;
  - increased energetic costs;
  - avoidance of areas of otherwise suitable habitat, potentially using poorer quality feeding/roosting sites instead;
  - increased stress; and
  - can lead to reduced fitness and breeding success.
- 3.3 Visitor surveys highlight that people who live around the harbour visit it to participate in recreational activities. However, there are also a proportion of visitors from other parts of Dorset, as well as other parts of the UK and internationally because of the natural attractiveness of the harbour. Studies also show a link between proximity of peoples' homes to the harbour and the frequency of visits. This draw is probably due to the uniqueness of the features in the harbour close to their homes and that there is not a comparable area in south east Dorset for certain recreational activities such as watersports.
- 3.4 The surveys show that visitors come from throughout the area covered by the Poole Local Plan area. For the area covered by the Draft Purbeck Local Plan the studies show that most of the local people who visit the harbour tend to live in the towns and villages which are close to the A35 and A351, including Swanage, Corfe Castle, Stoborough, Wareham, Lytchett Matravers and Upton.
- 3.5 On the basis of the evidence, the proposed increase in residential development around the harbour will inevitably result in more visitors to Poole Harbour for recreational activities, which will result in greater disturbance to the birds and their habitat.
- 3.6 Natural England therefore advises that the cumulative effect of residential and tourism development around the harbour will have a likely significant effect on the Poole Harbour protected sites. In particular, population growth will increase leisure activities in and around the harbour causing direct or indirect disturbance to protected birds.
- 3.7 Figure 1 illustrates the Poole Harbour Recreation Zone where, based on the visitor survey information, new residential and tourist development will have a likely significant effect upon the SPA, and therefore mitigation is required. The scope of this SPD will need to be reviewed as part of work on future Local Plans. This review will need to take particular account of the effects of new homes in areas that extend beyond the former boundaries of Poole and Purbeck.

Figure 1: Poole Harbour Recreation Zone



## 4 Enabling Development: The Poole Harbour Avoidance and Mitigation Strategy

- 4.1 This section sets out the approach to enabling development through the implementation of measures to avoid likely visitor effects upon Poole Harbour. The strategy is a long term approach with the SPD setting out a five year rolling programme of measures for the period 2019-2024.
- 4.2 The mitigation strategy is two part and consists of:
- Part 1: Strategic Access, Management and Monitoring (SAMM); and
  - Part 2: Poole Harbour Infrastructure Projects (PHIPs).
- 4.3 Mitigating the effects of leisure activities is also in part addressed by joint work between organisations on the implementation of The Poole Harbour Aquatic Management Plan (amended 2011). The management plan includes objectives to maintain habitats in Poole Harbour in a favourable condition and manage leisure activity within the harbour to mitigate adverse effects. The Local Plans are supported by strategic Habitats Regulations Assessments that take these measures into consideration

### Part 1: Strategic Access Management and Monitoring (SAMM)

- 4.4 This part of the strategy focuses on wardening, raising awareness and monitoring the effectiveness of the strategy. SAMMs contributions secure the day to day costs of helping local people to behave in ways less harmful to Poole Harbour. This is through raising awareness of the issues and value of the harbour and includes (i) employing a warden to manage visitor pressures on the heathland; and (ii) delivering awareness and education programmes. SAMMs also pay for the ongoing monitoring and the effects of new development and crucially whether this strategy is effective.
- 4.5 The cost of SAMMs is apportioned to the planned number of homes as follows:

#### Amount of Planned Development

- 4.6 For BCP Council the entire Poole Local Plan area falls within the Poole Harbour Recreation Zone and therefore additional housing and tourist development has to provide mitigation. After taking into account existing planning permissions, a further 3,200 homes are expected to be granted planning permission over the 5 year period from 2019/20 to 2023/24.
- 4.7 For Dorset Council only part of the Purbeck Local Plan falls within Poole Harbour Recreation Zone. The Council expects around 745 homes to come forward within this zone over the five year period.
- 4.8 Combined a total of 3,945 homes are expected to come forward over the 5 year period. If these levels of growth are exceeded, the two councils will have to ensure that suitable mitigation can be provided to avoid an adverse effect upon Poole Harbour SPA/Ramsar. The NPPF's presumption in favour of sustainable development does not apply unless the Councils can demonstrate through appropriate assessment that the proposal will not have a significant effect upon Poole Harbour SPA.


## The cost of mitigation

4.9 The necessary SAMMs mitigation measures for the period 2019/20-2024/25 are set out in Appendix A (Part 1). The cost of these measures over 5 years is £465,000. On the basis of the amount of planned development this roughly works out as £400,000 to BCP Council and £65,000 to Dorset Council.

## Calculating the cost of SAMMs

4.10 The SAMMs charge is calculated by dividing the total cost of providing SAMMs by the number of planned homes over the period 2019-2024, as shown in Figure 2. Section 5 details how this mitigation will be collected through planning applications.

Figure 2: The calculation of the SAMMs cost



## Part 2: Poole Harbour Infrastructure Projects (PHIPs)

- 4.11 PHIPs are physical infrastructure projects that provide facilities to manage visitor access to Poole Harbour. Projects will vary depending upon the particular issues around different parts of the harbour. For example, (i) the creation or improvement of an alternative access around the shoreline or; (ii) rationalising multiple access points into one principle access point to an area of shoreline.
- 4.12 The two Councils invite local landowners and organisations to suggest new PHIPs, which can be delivered by both the public and private sector. The Councils recommend that organisations have an informal discussion with the appropriate Council and Natural England prior to submission of a proposal. Proposals for PHIPs can be submitted using the separately published template. Projects will be considered for funding on a case by case basis. In some cases developers of large developments may wish to deliver bespoke measures which will be considered by the Councils with advice from Natural England.
- 4.13 As with the Dorset Heathlands Strategy, Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspaces (SANGs) could play a role in attracting residents away from the harbour and thereby reducing the potential impact. However, where SANG provision would similarly be required as mitigation for the heathlands, it would not be necessary to duplicate this provision for the harbour.

## Tourism development and other types of housing

4.14 Tourism development and other types of housing can still have a significant effect on the Poole Harbour SPA, but some can be mitigated. Further details are set in Appendix B.

## Permitted Development

4.15 Some development does not require planning permission and is known as 'permitted development' and 'prior approval'. Such development which enables residential dwellings/occupation can still have a likely significant effect on the Poole Harbour SPA and will therefore need to provide mitigation measures. Further details are outlined in Appendix C.

## 5 Paying for the Mitigation Strategy

- 5.1 This SPD has been prepared having regard to the tests set out in the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 and subsequent amendments, in particular Regulation 122 which sets out the three tests that the planning obligation should be necessary, directly related and fairly and reasonable related in scale and kind to the development. Where the Regulations change the authorities will continue to provide suitable mechanisms to enable applicants to contribute efficiently.
- 5.2 The Councils use different mechanisms to fund mitigation dependent upon local circumstances. Both Councils will fund PHIPs through CIL monies, but will collect the SAMMs differently as set out below.

### Paying SAMMs

- 5.3 To provide certainty to those considering or making planning applications for residential development and to ensure transparency and accountability this SPD sets a standard contribution for new dwellings to fund SAMMs. The simplicity of this approach gives certainty thus avoiding unnecessary delay in the determination of planning applications. The standard contribution is calculated by spreading the cost of the necessary mitigation across the amount of planned development.
- 5.4 Dorset Council will collect SAMMs contributions through CIL, whereas BCP Council will collect the SAMMs through planning obligations.
- 5.5 To enable the Councils to grant planning permission for proposals for a net increase in dwellings within the Poole Harbour Recreation Zone, the applicant is required to pay SAMMs as follows:
- Dorset Council will collect the necessary SAMMs costs through CIL. The contributions taken from CIL will be determined by the costs of funding SAMMs needed to mitigate the effects from the numbers of homes it expects to be delivered in the Poole Harbour Recreation Zone between 2019 and 2024; and
  - BCP Council will, as set out in Section 4, charge a SAMMs rate of £140 per house and £96 per flat paid by planning obligation through a payment:
    - prior to the grant of planning permission as an upfront payment (Section 111 of the 1972 Local Government Act); or
    - prior to commencement (Section 106 Agreement or unilateral undertaking).

BCP Council has an administration charge of 5% of the total contribution payable, subject to a minimum charge of £25 and capped to a limit of £330 per contribution. Model clauses for Section 106, Section 111 and unilateral agreements are set out in Appendix D.

- 5.6 A credit will be applied for existing residents based on the average occupancy of flats or houses. For example, if a house is to be replaced by 10 flats then the calculation would be:

*(10 x SAMMs contribution for a flat) minus the SAMMs contribution of 1 house*

- 5.7 The charge will be adjusted annually on 1 April to reflect inflation and ensure that the appropriate level of SAMM can be delivered over the plan period.

### Paying PHIPs

- 5.8 PHIPs will be delivered from contributions collected through CIL payments, or secured through Section 106 agreements, depending upon the circumstances. Where schemes are exempt from paying CIL, there is likely to be a requirement to provide PHIPs through Section 111, Section 106 Agreement or unilateral undertaking.
- 5.9 Some PHIPs projects may be delivered directly by developers through on site provision. The types of potential projects are set out in Appendix A. Specific projects will be set out in a Monitoring, Projects

and Implementation Plan, regularly updated to ensure that there is a rolling five year programme of projects.

### Appropriate assessment of planning applications

- 5.10 In accordance with the Habitats Regulations, the Councils will undertake a project level appropriate assessment when considering all planning applications where there is a net gain in homes within the Poole Harbour Recreation Zone.
- 5.11 This SPD provides a strategic mitigation framework to enable applicants to secure the appropriate avoidance or mitigation measures to comply with local plan policy and thereby enable the Council to conclude through appropriate assessment that there is no adverse effect on the integrity of Poole Harbour SPA. For the majority of development mitigation can be secured in accordance with this strategic mitigation framework.
- 5.12 However there will be instances when the applicant will be required to provide further information and agree to further avoidance and mitigation measures to enable the Council to conclude there is no adverse effect. For example, possible adverse effects can be avoided by alterations to the design or through the use of conditions on planning permission and these will be set out in the appropriate assessment.
- 5.13 The Council after completing the appropriate assessment template will publish it alongside the determination of the planning application. The Councils application of the Habitats Regulations is in accordance with recent case law, e.g. Sweetman 2 (People over wind), Holohan and Dutch nitrogen, which all reinforce the need for a rigorous approach.

### Securing mitigation in perpetuity

- 5.14 The Councils will be responsible for ensuring that CIL and planning obligations will be spent in a timely manner to ensure that mitigation is delivered in advance of occupation of new residential development.
- 5.15 The mitigation measures required to allow development will need to be in place whilst the adverse effects are arising. For residential development this means “in-perpetuity”, which in this SPD is 80 years, and hence resources are secured accordingly. However, the element of monitoring established allows for the adjustment of measures in the future based upon the evidence gathered.
- 5.16 SAMMs funding will have to be available for the lifetime of development. Where provision of PHIPs is on Council controlled sites the Council will through CIL and other contributions use these receipts to put in place and maintain projects. Where PHIPs are provided by landowners or other third parties, mechanisms will need to be secured that ensure that mitigation is available in-perpetuity and also that funding is secured to maintain it.
- 5.17 Some projects may be supported for a short duration, e.g. where the proposals are effective and innovative or as appropriate where short term concerns may arise. Future revisions to this document and the overall avoidance and mitigation strategy will investigate other means by which mitigation can be secured.

## 6 Monitoring, Implementation and Governance

- 6.1 The two Councils will use the contributions to deliver mitigation in a timely manner. Progress with mitigation measures and new projects will be set out in a Monitoring, Projects and Implementation Plan. This plan will form part of the Council's requirements to publish an Infrastructure Funding Statement.
- 6.2 An advisory group is proposed to oversee the joint partnership work on implementing projects and monitoring of the effects. Local organisations will be encouraged to complete the published template to submit projects and bid for funding.
- 6.3 The Councils will, where feasible, ensure that projects accord with corporate objectives and those of partner organisations in for example supporting healthy lives, adapting to climate change and achieving a net gain in biodiversity.



## Appendix A: Potential Mitigation Measures

The mitigation strategy consists of two parts. The tables below illustrate the type of measures the Councils could implement to mitigate the impact. An advisory group will oversee the provision of measures and projects. Some projects may require public consultation and Council sign off. Local organisations are encouraged to submit possible projects for consideration using the published template. The specific projects will be set out in the Monitoring, Projects and Implementation Plan and updated on an annual basis.

Part 1 provides an illustration of the type of measures that SAMMs contributions can be used for. The table sets out the type of pressure, the suggested action from Natural England's Site Improvement Plan (2014) (SIP) and/or Poole Harbour Aquatic Management Plan (2006 as amended) (AqMP), the type of mitigation measure and the estimated annual cost.

The AqMP provides a framework for the effective, co-ordinated management of the Harbour and the Poole Harbour SPA and serves as a Management Scheme for the European Marine Site, as set out in Regulation 38 of The Habitats Regulations. The Site Improvement Plan was developed by Natural England to provide a high level overview of the issues (both current and predicted) affecting the condition of the Natura 2000 features on the site(s) and outlines the priority measures required to improve the condition of the features.

### Part 1 Possible Types of Strategic Access Management and Monitoring (SAMMs) Measures

Type of disturbance	Action from SIP/AqMP	Possible Type of Measure	5 year cost
<b>Strategic Access Management</b>			
All land based disturbance types	Increase shore based wardening, working with landowners to include guided walks and talks to improve appreciation of the wildlife value of the site and raise awareness around 'bird sensitive areas' and how activities such as walking and dog walking can result in bird disturbance issues at some locations	Employ a co-ordinator, and a warden	£300,000
	Limit access and disturbance to sensitive areas by managing visitor numbers and intensity, by screening, setting back paths and encouraging inland routes. Find alternative locations that could be made more attractive for users for activities such as dog walking, walking and cycling.	Prepare a plan to identify pressure points where visitors can disturb birds and identify measures to deflect / manage access.	£15,000
	Improve users' awareness of the bird sensitive areas (refuge areas agreed in the Poole Harbour aquatic management plan) and how they can minimise disturbance. Develop and disseminate Codes of Conduct for both recreational and commercial users using leaflets and interpretation boards.	Update to 'Poole Harbour SPA and bird sensitive areas' leaflets including re-design and better audience targeting, i.e. separate versions for various user groups. New leaflets/social media for canoe and other water based activities.	£25,000
Disturbance by humans	Limit entanglement in or ingestion of litter by birds.	Litter needs to be cleared from sensitive areas, provided it does not disturb the birds. Could be volunteer events 6 monthly but some equipment needed.	£1,250

Type of disturbance	Action from SIP/AqMP	Possible Type of Measure	5 year cost
Disturbance by dogs	Improve awareness of dog owners of impact of bird disturbance by dogs, bird sensitive areas and alternative locations for their activity through liaison with dog owners, interpretation and updating of a website	Continue and increase 'Pit Stops and Walkies' to educate dog walkers on responsible dog walking in wildlife sensitive areas	£10,000
		Increase locations with Dorset Dogs 'Please don't chase the birds' signs or other new suitable signage.	£13,750
<b>Monitoring</b>			
All land based disturbance types	Undertake surveys to detect any change in the numbers and behaviour of shore-based recreation users to provide information on which activities and locations may need better management	Could include the use of automated counters and general counts of visitors, visitor interviews and bird disturbance surveys.	£100,000
	Monitor bird response to disturbance; this should include surveying breeding terns and Mediterranean gulls, wader and wildfowl roosts and offshore waterfowl and analysing Wetland Bird Survey (WeBS) data.		
<b>Total</b>			<b>£465,000</b>

Part 2 provides an illustration of the possible type of infrastructure projects that could be used to mitigate harm. The projects focus on making the harbour's edge more resilient to protect birds whilst also allowing free access for the public. The table sets out the type of disturbance, the suggested action from Natural England's Site Improvement Plan (2014), and the possible type of mitigation project. Project costs will be determined on a site by site basis.

*Part 2 Possible Types of Poole Harbour Infrastructure Projects (PHIPs)*

Type of disturbance	Action from Site Improvement Plan	Possible Type of Project
Disturbance by dogs and human disturbance	Limit disturbance to sensitive areas by managing visitor numbers and intensity, by screening, setting back paths and encouraging inland routes. Find alternative locations that could be made more attractive for users for activities such as dog walking, walking and cycling	Install information sign to raise awareness about birds of the harbour.
		Use signage and good quality alternative paths to attract users away from the harbour edge.
		Install signage buoys or markers to raise awareness to boat users during the winter when wading birds are feeding.
		Install screening including planting between paths and sensitive bird roosts.

## Appendix B: Advice for Different Uses

There may be forms of development which are not specifically mentioned in this SPD that may cause additional harm and these will be considered on a case by case basis.

The table below sets out different uses and whether they are likely to cause a significant effect alone or in combination upon the Poole Harbour SPA:

Use	Likely significant effect	Mitigation	Contribution
Use Class C2 – specialist housing, i.e. retirement homes	Yes	Contribution	1 room = 1 flat
Use Class C2 – Specialist housing, i.e. nursing home	No	No	n/a
Use Class C2 – residential institutions, i.e. boarding schools, residential colleges and training centres	Yes	Contribution	1 room = 1 flat
Use Class C2 – residential institutions, i.e. hospitals,	No	No	n/a
Use Class C3 – net additional dwelling	Yes	Contribution	Per house or flat
Use Class C3 – replacement dwelling	No	No	n/a
Use Class C3 – extension or granny annex	No	No	n/a
Use Class C4 – HMO <6 residents	Yes	Contribution	Per house or flat
Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO) (Sui generis >6 residents )	Yes	Contribution	1 room = 1 flat
Self-catering, caravan, chalet and touring holiday accommodation	Yes	Contribution	1 unit =60% of 1 flat
Gypsies and Travellers	Yes	Contribution	1 pitch = 1 flat
Student accommodation	Yes	Contribution	1 room = 1 flat

Note: For Dorset Council where CIL doesn't apply, the preference will be for mitigation measures to be provided as part of the development package.

### Use Class C2 – Specialist housing, i.e. sheltered housing / nursing homes

Certain types of specialist purpose built nursing homes where residents are no longer active will not have a significant effect and do not need to provide mitigation, e.g. where nursing care is necessary such as for advanced dementia or physical nursing needs.

### Use Class C2 – specialist housing, i.e. retirement homes

Use Class C2 specialist retirement housing, where the occupants are still active, is comparable to residential flats. Such schemes will be expected to provide mitigation in accordance with this SPD. Mitigation will also be required for any net increase in on-site staff residential accommodation.

### Use Class C2 – residential institutions, i.e. hospitals

Generally hospitals would not be considered to have a likely significant effect with regard to recreational impacts upon the harbour.

### Gypsies and Travellers

There is no evidence to demonstrate that the occupants of permanent or transit sites for gypsies and travellers would be likely to have any level of recreational access need which is substantially different to residents in Use Class C3 dwellings. Therefore any net increase in gypsy and travellers accommodation will need to provide mitigation in accordance with this SPD with each pitch equating to one flat.

### Self-catering, caravan and touring holiday accommodation applications

Self-catering and touring proposals are likely to have broadly similar impacts upon Poole Harbour SPA to those arising from residential development. Whilst individual applicants may seek to reduce impacts e.g. by restricting pets there is considerable uncertainty about whether, over time, such agreements would be effective and therefore such proposals cannot be supported.

In calculating financial contributions the Councils will assume a 60% occupancy to take account of seasonal fluctuations and average occupancy. Therefore only 60% of the contribution will be necessary. Applicants

can challenge this assumption, but will need to provide evidence to demonstrate that the occupancy level will be different.

### Student accommodation

There is no evidence to demonstrate that the occupants of student accommodation would be likely to have any level of recreational access need which is substantially different to residents in Use Class C3 dwellings. Therefore mitigation will be needed in accordance with this SPD with each occupied room equating to one flat.

### Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO)

Due to the permitted interchangeability of C3 dwellings and C4 HMOs, C4 HMOs are treated as a single dwelling if there is provision for up to 6 residents. However for proposals where there would be more than 6 residents (*sui generis*) mitigation will be necessary. Each additional occupied room will be required to provide mitigation in accordance with this SPD equating to one flat per additional room, i.e. a proposal for a 7 room HMO will be assumed to result in one additional room and will have to provide a financial contribution equating to a flat. This is because more than 6 unrelated people in a single dwelling exceeds the average expected occupancy of any single dwelling.

### Commercial Uses Associated with the Harbour

Some commercial uses likely to have a significant effect on Poole Harbour, where they would increase recreational pressure. Whilst a proportion of visitors to Poole Harbour will likely derive from surrounding residential areas and mitigation will have been provided for new residential development, the use may also attract a high number of visitors from outside of this area.

Commercial uses associated with harbour use and recreation may include but are not limited to:

- Yacht/sailing clubs, marinas and moorings;
- Outdoor activities place;
- Hotels; and
- Cafés/restaurants.

Such proposals will be considered on a case by case basis and where applicable, applicants will need to provide evidence to allow the Council to assess the effect of development on Poole Harbour and the suitability of providing appropriate mitigation as necessary.

Whilst those developments in close proximity to the harbour may have a more obvious impact than those further away, the degree of impact is also very dependent up the nature of the development use.

## Appendix C: Permitted Development / Prior Approvals

The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as amended) (GPDO) enables certain types of development to take place without the need for specific planning permission, provided certain criteria are met. For example, the change of use of an office to a dwelling.

Article 3(1) of the GPDO, by incorporating regulations 75-78 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (the Habitats Regulations), imposes a condition requiring prior approval under these Regulations, that the local planning authority is satisfied that there is no adverse effect on the integrity of any European site, before permitted development can go ahead. Regulation 75 states:

*General development orders*

*75. It is a condition of any planning permission granted by a general development order made on or after 30th November 2017, that development which—*

*(a) is likely to have a significant effect on a European site or a European offshore marine site (either alone or in combination with other plans or projects), and*

*(b) is not directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site,*

*must not be begun until the developer has received written notification of the approval of the local planning authority under regulation 77 (approval of local planning authority).*

As set out in this SPD, the uncontrolled' approval of residential and other uses allowed by the amended order, without an appropriate assessment or any required mitigation or development is likely to have significant effect on the Poole Harbour SPA.

In practice the process generally involves the applicant seeking Prior Approval from the Council for the change of use. When determining the Prior Approval the Council can provide a form for the applicant to complete. This form has to be submitted and approved by the Council before work on developing the site can commence.

In most cases for BCP Council the position can be overcome by submission alongside the form of a unilateral agreement (S106 Agreement) or upfront contribution (S111) to provide mitigation in accordance with this SPD.

For Dorset Council the applicant can rely on the Council to fund the necessary mitigation from the wider CIL pot, at no extra cost to the applicant.

## Appendix D: Model Clauses for Planning Obligations

An example of a standard clause for either an agreement or unilateral undertaking:

*“the Poole Harbour Recreation contribution” means the sum of ( ) thousand ( ) hundred and ( ) Pounds increased by the percentage (if any) by the Retail Price Index shall have increased between the date of publication prior to the date of this Deed and the date of payment together with an administrative fee of £(pounds) towards measures which avoid or mitigate against any adverse effect of the Development on the Poole Harbour Special Protection Area and Ramsar Site in accordance with the Poole Harbour Recreation Supplementary Planning Document. For the avoidance of doubt such sum or any part of thereof shall not be reimbursed to the party or to any other party”.*

The obligation could then be worded:

*“The Owner hereby Covenants with the Council that he will not cause or permit the commencement of the development on the land until the Poole Harbour Recreation Contribution has been paid to the Council.”*

For strategically significant sites delivering large numbers of residential units the obligation may be worded differently to reflect payment of the contribution on a phased basis.

## Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA)

Before completing this EqIA please ensure you have read the EqIA Guidance Notes

<b>Title</b>	Poole Harbour Recreation Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) 2019 - 2024		
<b>Date assessment started:</b>	13 <sup>th</sup> August 2019	<b>Version No:</b>	1
		<b>Date of completion:</b>	14 <sup>th</sup> August 2019

### Type of Strategy, Policy, Project or Service:

Is this Equality Impact Assessment (please put a cross in the relevant box)

Existing:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Changing, update or revision:	<input type="checkbox"/>
New or proposed:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please explain):	X: The SPD relates to adopted planning policies in the Purbeck Local Plan Part 1 and the Poole Local Plan.

Is this Equality Impact Assessment (please put a cross in the relevant box)

Internal:	<input type="checkbox"/>	External:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Both:	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----------	--------------------------	-----------	-------------------------------------	-------	--------------------------

### Report Created By:

Name:	Steve Boyt
Job Title:	Planning Policy Officer
Email address:	<a href="mailto:Steve.boyt@dorsetcouncil.gov.uk">Steve.boyt@dorsetcouncil.gov.uk</a>
Members of the assessment team:	

### Step 1: Aims

<p>What are the aims of your strategy, policy, project or service?</p> <p><i>Aims of the Poole Harbour Supplementary Planning Document</i></p> <p>International and national laws prevent the Council from permitting plans or projects which have, or could have, an adverse effect on protected habitats (known as European sites).</p> <p>The SPD provides further information and guidance for the Council when taking decisions on plans or projects (including local plans and planning applications) that are likely to have significant effects on European sites (specifically Poole Harbour Special Protection Area (SPA)). As part of this it identifies suitable mitigation measures (and a means for funding these measures through the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)) to avoid or reduce their impacts – these mitigation measures allow the Councils' to conclude that relevant plans/projects will not adversely affect Poole Harbour.</p> <p>The mitigation measures will be funded through existing CIL contributions. The Council is not proposing to collect further financial contributions, the SPD introduces a new priority for spending existing/future contributions that are collected through CIL.</p>
--

What is the background or context to the proposal?

*Background – obligations around protected habitats and species*

National and international law on protected habitats and species<sup>1</sup> imposes specific obligations on the Council when taking decisions on plans and projects which are likely to have significant effects on European sites<sup>2</sup>. Plans include local plans, and projects include applications for planning permission. Poole Harbour is designated as a European site (Special Protection Area (SPA)). The Council is not permitted to approve plans or projects which have, or could have, an adverse effect on the integrity of a European site.

*Existing and emerging planning policy*

SPDs 'Supplementary planning documents (SPDs) should build upon and provide more detailed advice or guidance on policies in an adopted local plan.' (Planning Practice Guidance). Adopted planning policy (Policy PH) in the Purbeck Local Plan Part 1 (2012) states that:

'The Council will work with neighbouring local authorities, statutory bodies and landowners to manage shoreline access to Poole Harbour and implement the Poole Harbour Aquatic Management Plan to manage water based activities.'

Policy E9 in the emerging Purbeck Local Plan states:

'The Council is working with the Borough of Poole to develop a Recreation in Poole Harbour SPD. Development proposals for any net increase in homes, tourist accommodation or a tourist attraction around the edges of the harbour (as defined in the SPD) will need to avoid or mitigate adverse impacts arising from recreational activity on Poole Harbour.'

*Scope of the SPD*

The SPD will relate to the land around Poole Harbour that includes the following towns and villages: Corfe Castle, Lytchett Matravers, Poole, Sandford, Swanage, Upton and Wareham.

The evidence indicates that the following types of development are likely to have significant effects on Poole Harbour SPA:

- new homes (planning Use Class C3);
- houses in multiple occupation (planning Use Class C4);
- some (i.e. those where the occupants remain physically active and able to visit the harbour) residential institutions (planning Use Class C2);
- commercial development – including hotels/cafes and restaurants/sailing clubs and marinas/outward bound centres (planning law defines uses according to their characteristics and their effects planning. Relevant 'Use Classes' for these types of development are C1, A3 and *sui generis* [not falling within any class]);
- private student accommodation (which does not fall within a planning use class – *sui generis*);
- caravan sites (which do not fall within a planning use class – *sui generis*); and
- sites for gypsies, travellers and travelling show people (which do not fall within a planning use class – *sui generis*).

---

<sup>1</sup> The legal obligations arise out of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex%3A31992L0043>). The requirements in the Directive have been transposed into UK law through The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2017/1012/contents/made>).

<sup>2</sup> Significance of effects on Poole Harbour SPA will vary according depending on factors such as magnitude of impact, type, extent, duration, intensity, timing, probability, cumulative effects and the vulnerability of the habitats and species concerned.



## Step 2: Intelligence and Communication

<p>What data, information, evidence and research was used in this EqIA and how has it been used to inform the decision-making process?</p>
<p>Office for National Statistics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mid-year population estimates;</li> <li>• Diversity mix;</li> <li>• Religion;</li> <li>• Living arrangements;</li> <li>• Types of homes;</li> <li>• Tenure of homes; and</li> <li>• Access and connectivity.</li> </ul> <p>Dorset Council evidence on health and care presented on its website (<a href="https://apps.geowessex.com/stats/AreaProfiles/Parish/wareham-town">https://apps.geowessex.com/stats/AreaProfiles/Parish/wareham-town</a> ).</p> <p>Dorset Council's data on local populations obtained from its research and consultation team and presented on Dorset Council's website (<a href="https://www.dorsetcouncil.gov.uk/your-community/statistics-and-census.aspx">https://www.dorsetcouncil.gov.uk/your-community/statistics-and-census.aspx</a> ).</p>
<p>What data do you already have about your service users, or the people your proposal will have an impact on?</p>
<p>See above. The Council also has a database of those individuals who are interested in the preparation of planning policies and SPD.</p> <p>The zone around Poole Harbour includes parts of the following Wards in Dorset Council:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Swanage (current population 9,798);</li> <li>• South East Purbeck (current population 4,171);</li> <li>• Wareham (current population 10,106); and</li> <li>• Lytchett Matravers and Upton (current population 12,282). (Data obtained from Dorset Insight: <a href="https://apps.geowessex.com/stats/AreaProfiles/Ward/lytchett-matravers-and-upton">https://apps.geowessex.com/stats/AreaProfiles/Ward/lytchett-matravers-and-upton</a> ).</li> </ul>
<p>What engagement or consultation has taken place as part of this EqIA?</p>
<p>Purbeck District Council and Borough of Poole Council undertook a joint consultation on the draft SPD between 4<sup>th</sup> February and 4<sup>th</sup> March 2019. As part of the consultation the Council made copies of the draft SPD available for people to view at:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• its offices (Westport House, Worgret Road);</li> <li>• all local libraries in Purbeck; and</li> <li>• town council offices in Lytchett Minster and Upton/Swanage/Wareham.</li> </ul> <p>The Councils received a total of 57 responses. 24 responses were from organisations and 33 were from members of the public. The councils have prepared a consultation statement which summarises the content of the consultation feedback and officers responses.</p>
<p>Is further information needed to help inform this proposal?</p>
<p>No.</p>
<p>How will the outcome of consultation be fed back to those who you consulted with?</p>
<p>The Councils' will publish the consultation report and have taken account of the responses when preparing the final version of the SPD.</p>

## Step 3: Assessment

Who does the service, strategy, policy, project or change impact?

- If your strategy, policy, project or service contains options you may wish to consider providing an assessment for each option. Please cut and paste the template accordingly.

For each protected characteristic please choose from the following options:

- Please note in some cases more than one impact may apply – in this case please state all relevant options and explain in the ‘Please provide details’ box.

Positive Impact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Positive impact on a large proportion of protected characteristic groups</li> <li>• Significant positive impact on a small proportion of protect characteristics group</li> </ul>
Negative Impact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Disproportionate impact on a large proportion of protected characteristic groups</li> <li>• Significant disproportionate impact on a small proportion of protected characteristic groups.</li> </ul>
Neutral Impact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No change/ no assessed significant impact of protected characteristic groups</li> </ul>
Unclear	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not enough data/evidence has been collected to make an informed decision.</li> </ul>

Age:	Neutral/positive.
What age bracket does this affect?	
Please provide details:	<p>The requirement to screen proposed development/planning policies for likely significant effects on European sites, and undertake appropriate assessments, does not differentiate between individuals on the basis of age.</p> <p>But the significance of effects arising from some forms of residential development (e.g. C2 residential institution) may vary according to the age/mobility of their occupants (plans or projects relating to residential uses for elderly or immobile inhabitants may not have a significant effect on Poole Harbour SPA). In those circumstances where there are not likely to be any significant effects on the protected habitat no further action is required.</p> <p>Where there are significant effects the Council is obliged to complete an appropriate assessment – this assessment includes a consideration of whether adverse effects on the habitat can be mitigated. The evidence suggests that general residential accommodation (e.g. C3 homes), where the occupants are typically mobile, is likely to have a significant effect on Poole Harbour SPA. The effects from this type of accommodation typically need to be mitigated to avoid adverse impacts on the harbour.</p>

Disability:	Neutral/positive.
Does this affect a specific disability group?	
Please provide details:	<p>The requirement to screen proposed development/planning policies for likely significant effects on European sites, and undertake appropriate assessments, does not differentiate between individuals on the basis of disability. But the significance of effects arising from some forms of residential development (e.g. C2 residential institution) may vary according to the age/mobility of their occupants (plans or projects relating to residential uses for immobile inhabitants may not have a significant effect on Poole Harbour SPA). In those</p>

	<p>circumstances where there are not likely to be any significant effects on the protected habitat no further action is required.</p> <p>Where there are significant effects the Council is obliged to complete an appropriate assessment – this assessment includes a consideration of whether adverse effects on the habitat can be mitigated. The evidence suggests that general residential accommodation (e.g. C3 homes), where the occupants are typically mobile, is likely to have a significant effect on Poole Harbour SPA. The effects from this type of accommodation typically need to be mitigated to avoid adverse impacts on the harbour.</p>
--	---

Gender Reassignment & Gender Identity:	Neutral.
Does this affect a specific gender, gender reassignment or gender identity?	
Please provide details:	The Council does not have any evidence that either gender or gender identity will affect the significance of effects arising from land use plans/projects on Poole Harbour SPA. The requirement to screen for likely significant effects, and undertake appropriate assessments, does not differentiate between individuals on the basis of gender.

Pregnancy and maternity:	Neutral.
Does this affect pregnancy/maternity?	
Please provide details:	The Council does not have any evidence that either pregnancy or maternity will affect the significance of effects arising from land use plans/projects on Poole Harbour SPA. The requirement to screen for likely significant effects and undertake appropriate assessments does not differentiate between individuals on the basis of pregnancy/maternity.

Race and Ethnicity:	Neutral.
Does this affect a specific race or ethnic group?	
Please provide details:	The Council does not have any evidence that either race or ethnicity will affect the significance of effects arising from land use plans/projects on Poole Harbour. The requirement to screen for likely significant effects and undertake appropriate assessments does not differentiate between individuals on basis of race or ethnicity.

Religion or belief:	Neutral.
Does this affect a specific religion or people holding a particular belief?	
Please provide details:	The Council does not have any evidence that either religion or belief will affect the significance of effects arising from land use plans/projects on Poole Harbour SPA. The requirement to screen for likely significant effects and undertake appropriate assessments does not differentiate between individuals on basis of religious beliefs.

Sexual orientation:	Neutral.
Does this affect people with a specific sexual orientation?	
Please provide details:	The Council does not have any evidence that sexual orientation will affect the significance of effects arising from land use plans/projects on Poole Harbour SPA. The requirement to screen for likely significant effects and undertake appropriate assessments does not differentiate between individuals on basis of sexual orientation.

Sex:	Neutral.
Does this affect people of a specific gender?	
Please provide details:	The Council does not have any evidence that sexual orientation will affect the significance of effects arising from land use plans/projects on Poole Harbour SPA. The requirement to screen for likely significant effects and undertake appropriate assessments does not differentiate between individuals on basis of sex.

Marriage or civil partnership:	Neutral.
Does this affect people of a specific relationship status?	
Please provide details:	The Council does not have any evidence that relationship status of a person will affect the significance of effects arising from land use plans/projects on Poole Harbour SPA. The requirement to screen for likely significant effects and undertake appropriate assessments does not differentiate between individuals on basis of their relationship status.

Carers:	Neutral.
Does this affect people who are specifically carers?	
Please provide details:	The Council does not have any evidence that a person's status as a carer will affect the significance of effects arising from land use plans/projects on Poole Harbour SPA. The requirement to screen for

	likely significant effects and undertake appropriate assessments does not differentiate between individuals on basis of their status as a carer.
--	--

Rural isolation:	Neutral.
Does this specifically effect people who are in rural isolation?	
Please provide details:	The Council's evidence indicates that further residents living in the towns and villages of Swanage, Harmans Cross, Corfe Castle, Stoborough, Wareham, Sandford, Lytchett Matravers, Lytchett Minster, Upton and Poole, are likely to give rise to significant effects on Poole Harbour SPA. In Purbeck the requirement to undertaken an appropriate assessment is more likely to affect people living in a rural area.

Single parent families:	Neutral
Does this specifically effect single parent families?	
Please provide details:	The Council does not have any evidence that a person's relationship status will affect the significance of effects arising from land use plans/projects on Poole Harbour SPA. The requirement to screen for likely significant effects and undertake appropriate assessments does not differentiate between individuals on basis of their relationship status.

Poverty (social & economic deprivation):	Neutral
Does this specifically effect people suffering from economic/social depravation?	
Please provide details:	The Council does not have any evidence that people's economic/social status will affect the significance of effects arising from land use plans/projects on Poole Harbour SPA. People of a higher economic status are more likely to own land/make planning applications, and therefore be subject to the requirements of relevant laws/planning policies. The requirement to screen for likely significant effects and undertake appropriate assessments does not differentiate between individuals on basis of their economic/social status.

Military families/veterans:	Neutral.
Does this specifically effect military veterans/families?	
Please provide details:	<p>The Council does not have any evidence that military families/veterans, when compared with other families and individuals, will affect the significance of effects arising from land use plans/projects on Poole Harbour SPA. The Council notes that Royal Marines Hamworthy Camp (including homes for military personal and their families) is located inside the area where the Council's evidence indicates that further residential development is likely to have a significant effect on Poole Harbour SPA. The requirement to screen for likely significant effects and undertake appropriate assessments does not differentiate between individuals, or their families, on basis of whether they are members of the armed forces or veterans.</p>

## Step 4: Action Plan

Provide actions for **positive**, **negative** and **unclear** impacts.

If you have identified any **negative** or **unclear** impacts, describe what adjustments will be made to remove or reduce the impacts, or if this is not possible provide justification for continuing with the proposal.

Issue	Action	Person(s) responsible	Deadline	How will it be monitored?
Feedback from consultation on draft SPD.	The Councils' will publish the consultation report and have taken account of the responses when preparing the final version of the SPD.	Officers of Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Council, and Dorset Council.	Prior to the Councils consideration of the draft SPD.	No monitoring required.

## Step 5: EqlA Sign Off

Officer completing this EqlA:	Steve Boyt	Date:	14 <sup>th</sup> August 2019
Equality Lead:	Susan Ward-Rice	Date:	07/11/2019
Directorate Board Chair:	Pete Bartlett	Date:	29/10/2019